

To: R8EISC[R8EISC@epa.gov]
From: Citizen Name/Ex. 6
Sent: Tue 8/11/2015 8:45:45 PM
Subject: Re: Form submission from: Region 8 Region 8 Contact Us form

I appreciate the reply, but you failed to answer my question. Which indicates the EPA made a statement it can't back up, in fact, the statement was made prior to any assessment of environmental damage. I believe the term is "whitewashing."
That's shameful.

On Mon, Aug 10, 2015 at 1:31 PM, R8EISC <R8EISC@epa.gov> wrote:

EPA is committed to working closely with response agencies and state and local officials to ensure the safety of citizens, respond to concerns and to evaluate impact to water contaminated by the Gold King Mine Release. EPA is sharing information as quickly as possible with the public as experts work to evaluate any effects the spill may have on drinking water, public health, agriculture, fish and wildlife.

Please see our website for the latest information: <http://www2.epa.gov/region8/gold-king-mine-release-emergency-response>

Regular updates will be posted as they are available.

From: drupal_admin@epa.gov <drupal_admin@epa.gov> on behalf of Citizen Name/Ex. 6
via EPA <drupal_admin@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2015 11:05 PM
To: R8EISC
Subject: Form submission from: Region 8 Region 8 Contact Us form

Submitted on 08/07/2015 7:05PM

Submitted values are:

Your Name: Personal Email/Ex. 6
Email Address:

Comments:

Regarding the EPA press release on the recent catastrophe in Silverton, CO, what precise factual evidence did the EPA use to inform it's statement, "The agency said impacts on wildlife and the environment in the area would likely be minimal."?

I would like an honest answer, if I don't get one, I'll assume you don't have one.

Thank you